## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS NORTHERN DIVISION

JOHNATHAN YASEVICH, et al., Each Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated **PLAINTIFFS** 

VS.

No. 3:20-cv-19-KGB

THE HERITAGE COMPANY, INC., and SANDRA FRANECKE

**DEFENDANTS** 

## PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Plaintiffs Johnathan Yasevich, et al., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through their attorneys of the Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for their Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, do hereby state as follows:

## I. PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Defendant The Heritage Company, Inc. ("Heritage") is a domestic for-profit corporation, registered and licensed to do business in the State of Arkansas.
- 2. Defendant Sandra Franecke ("Franecke") is and was the owner, principal, officer, and director of The Heritage Company, Inc.
- 3. Franecke managed and controlled the day-to-day operations of The Heritage Company, Inc., including but not limited to the decision on how to pay Plaintiffs.
- 4. Franecke, at all relevant times, exercised supervisory authority over Plaintiffs in relation to their work schedules, pay policy and the day-to-day job duties that Plaintiffs' jobs entailed.

Page 1 of 13
Johnathan Yasevich, et al. v. The Heritage Company, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 3:20-cv-19-KGB
Plaintiffs' Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

5. During each of the three years preceding the filing of the Complaint,

Defendants employed at least two individuals who were engaged in interstate commerce

or in the production of goods for interstate commerce, or had employees handling, selling,

or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for

commerce by any person.

6. At all relevant times, Defendants continuously employed at least four (4)

employees.

7. At all relevant times, Defendants' annual gross volume of sales made or

business done has exceeded \$500,000.00 per year.

8. Within the three years preceding the filing of Plaintiffs' Complaint, Defendants

owned and operated call-centers throughout Arkansas.

9. Plaintiff Yasevich worked as a TSR for Defendant from October of 2014 to

December of 2019.

10. Plaintiff Ronald Denney worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-

years preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around

December 20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

11. Plaintiff Holmes worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

12. Plaintiff Nelson worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

Page 2 of 13
Johnathan Yasevich, et al. v. The Heritage Company, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 3:20-cv-19-KGB
Plaintiffs' Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

13. Plaintiff Rose worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

14. Plaintiff Amaya worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

15. Plaintiff Anderson worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

16. Plaintiff Brown worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

17. Plaintiff Burdess worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

18. Plaintiff Byrd worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years preceding

the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December 20, 2019,

when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

19. Plaintiff Cannon worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20. Plaintiff Chagala worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

21. Plaintiff Crowe worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

22. Plaintiff Ronnie Denney worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-

years preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around

December 20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

23. Plaintiff Dickerson worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

24. Plaintiff Dunbar worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

25. Plaintiff Fisher worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

26. Plaintiff Followell worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

27. Plaintiff Gammons worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

28. Plaintiff Goodrum worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

29. Plaintiff Gray worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

30. Plaintiff Gurnsey worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

31. Plaintiff Hayes worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

32. Plaintiff Hensley worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

33. Plaintiff Alison Jackson worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

34. Plaintiff Laquisha Jackson worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-

years preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around

December 20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

35. Plaintiff Arnell Jones worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

36. Plaintiff Chastiee Jones worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-

years preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around

December 20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

37. Plaintiff Lisko worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

38. Plaintiff Long worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

39. Plaintiff McMullen worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

40. Plaintiff Charles Perry worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

Case 3:20-cv-00019-KGB Document 102 Filed 12/04/23 Page 7 of 13

41. Plaintiff Laura Perry worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

42. Plaintiff Reid worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years preceding

the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December 20, 2019,

when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

43. Plaintiff Rice worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years preceding

the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December 20, 2019,

when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

44. Plaintiff Smith worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

45. Plaintiff Stell worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years preceding

the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December 20, 2019,

when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

46. Plaintiff Thomason worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

47. Plaintiff Todd worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

Page 7 of 13
Johnathan Yasevich, et al. v. The Heritage Company, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 3:20-cv-19-KGB
Plaintiffs' Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

48. Plaintiff Underwood worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

49. Plaintiff Weeks worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

50. Plaintiff Welch worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

51. Plaintiff Chanell Williams worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-

years preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around

December 20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

52. Plaintiff Wilmoth worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

53. Plaintiff Wallis Wilson worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

54. Plaintiff Wood worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

55. Plaintiff Woods worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

56. Plaintiff Wyman worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

57. Plaintiff Zamudio worked as a TSR for Defendant within the three-years

preceding the filing of this lawsuit and was employed by Defendant on or around December

20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without notice.

58. All non-TSR Plaintiffs, including Plaintiffs Kristin Costner, Adam Followell,

Katrina Grimes, Katie Reed, Ruby Walton, Ashley West, and Andrew West were employed

by Defendants on or around December 20, 2019, when Defendant closed the facility without

notice.

59. On or around December 22, 2019, Defendants closed their call centers and

sent all employees home. Defendants' employees had no warning that the call centers were

closing.

60. Plaintiffs never received written notice of the closure of Defendants' call

centers.

61. Defendants had known for more than two months prior to the shut down of

any hacking or "cyber attack" that allegedly occurred, but still did not provide any notice of

a possible or certain shut down.

62. TSR Plaintiffs were paid hourly and primarily responsibility for providing

telemarketing services for Defendants.

Page 9 of 13
Johnathan Yasevich, et al. v. The Heritage Company, et al. U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 3:20-cv-19-KGB

63. Defendants had a policy of not paying its TSR employees for time spent not

logged into a computer system.

64. Defendants did not pay TSR Plaintiffs any overtime premiums for any hours

that were not spent logged into a computer system, even if they were working during that

time.

65. Defendants did not maintain accurate records of hours worked by TSR

Plaintiffs.

66. At all relevant times, Defendants knew TSR Plaintiffs were consistently

working overtime hours each week.

67. At all relevant times, Defendants knew the FLSA required payment of an

"overtime premium" for all hours worked over forty per week.

68. At all relevant times, Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiffs

did not qualify for any exemption to the overtime wage requirements of the FLSA.

69. At all relevant times, Defendants knew they were not paying the overtime

premium required under the FLSA.

70. Defendants did not take any affirmative steps to comply with the FLSA.

71. Defendants had no objective or subjective reason for believing they were in

compliance with the FLSA.

II. PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Heritage Company, Inc., is a covered employer under the FLSA, the

AMWA and the WARN Act.

2. Franecke is a covered employer under the FLSA and the AMWA.

Page 10 of 13
Johnathan Yasevich, et al. v. The Heritage Company, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 3:20-cv-19-KGB
Plaintiffs' Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

3. Plaintiffs were covered employees under the FLSA, the AMWA and the Warn

Act.

4. Defendants are jointly and severally liable as joint employers under the FLSA

and the AMWA.

5. Plaintiffs were non-exempt employees entitled to the rights and benefits of

employees under the FLSA and the AMWA throughout their tenure with Defendants.

6. The FLSA requires that an employee receive a minimum wage for all hours

worked up to forty each week and overtime wages of one and one-half times the

employee's regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty per week.

7. Defendants did not meet their burden of proving that TSR Plaintiffs qualified

for an exemption from the overtime requirements of the FLSA and the AMWA.

8. Defendants violated the FLSA and the AMWA by not paying TSR Plaintiffs

overtime wages of one and one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked in excess

of forty per week.

9. As Defendants' records of Plaintiff's hours worked are inaccurate, Plaintiff is

entitled to prove his time worked by stating to the best of his recollection the approximate

amount of hours worked. Anderson v. Mt. Clemens Pottery Co., 328 U.S. 680 (1946).

10. Defendants have not met their burden of negating Plaintiff's good faith

estimates regarding the approximate number of hours worked by Plaintiffs. Anderson v. Mt.

Clemens Pottery Co., 328 U.S. 680 (1946).

11. Defendants' violation of the FLSA was willful; therefore, the three-year

limitations period of the FLSA applies to Plaintiff's claims. 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

12. Defendants are liable to TSR Plaintiffs for their back wages of \$346,141.69. Case 3:20-cv-00019-KGB Document 102 Filed 12/04/23 Page 12 of 13

13. Defendant The Heritage Company, Inc., is liable to Plaintiffs \$376,574.92 in

compensatory damages for violations of the WARN Act.

14. Plaintiffs are entitled to liquidated damages in an amount equal to their

damages set forth above as authorized by the FLSA and the AMWA because Defendants

did not demonstrate that their actions in failing to properly compensate Plaintiff were in

good faith and with reasonable grounds.

15. Because Defendants carry the burden of proving good faith for liquidated

damages purposes, liquidated damages are awarded as Defendants' decisions were not

made in both objective and subjective good faith.

16. Plaintiffs have incurred attorney's fees in an effort to assert their rights under

the FLSA and the AMWA, and by law such reasonable attorney's fees should be paid by

Defendants.

17. Plaintiffs have incurred costs in an effort to assert their rights under the FLSA,

the AMWA, and by law those costs should be paid by Defendants.

18. In total, Defendants are jointly and severally liable to Plaintiffs, and this Court

renders judgment as follows:

19. Defendants are liable to TSR Plaintiffs for his back wages of \$346,141.69,

and for their liquidated damages of \$346,141.69, for a total of \$692,283.38.

20. Defendant The Heritage Company, Inc., is liable to Plaintiffs \$376,574.92 in

compensatory damages.

21. Defendants are also liable to Plaintiffs for their reasonable attorney's fees and

costs, which will be requested by petition within the time required by the Federal Rules of

Civil Procedure and Local Rules of this Court.

Page 12 of 13
Johnathan Yasevich, et al. v. The Heritage Company, et al. U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) No. 3:20-cv-19-KGB

Respectfully submitted,

PLAINTIFFS JOHNATHAN YASEVICH, et al., Each individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC Kirkpatrick Plaza 10800 Financial Centre Parkway, Suite 510 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

Sean Short Ark. Bar No. 2015079 sean@sanfordlawfirm.com

Josh Sanford Ark. Bar No. 2001037 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com